×

# CALGARY ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD DECISION WITH REASONS

In the matter of the complaint against the Property/Business assessment as provided by the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26, Section 460(4).

#### between:

#### Assessment Advisory Group, COMPLAINANT

and

#### The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

before:

# L. Wood, PRESIDING OFFICER I. Zacharopoulos, MEMBER D. Steele, MEMBER

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of Property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2010 Assessment Roll as follows:

**ROLL NUMBER:** 049004401

LOCATION ADDRESS: 2520 26 Street NE

HEARING NUMBER: 58613

ASSESSMENT: \$1,000,000

#### Page 2 of 3

z

This complaint was heard on 10th day of August, 2010 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 3, 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Boardroom 11.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

• Mr. Stephen Cobb

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

• Mr. Marcus Berzins

# **Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:**

At the commencement of the hearing, the parties requested that files **#58608** and **#58613** be heard together. The Board agreed with their request. Cross referencing of the parties' evidence between the two files may be required.

# **Property Description:**

The subject property is a 1- acre parcel of vacant land located in Sunridge with a land use designation of I-G.

#### **Issues:** (as indicated on the complaint form)

1) The assessed value is not reflective of the property's market value.

Comparable property sales demonstrate lower market value is appropriate for the subject property or \$650,000/acre.

# Complainant's Requested Value: \$650,000

# Board's Decision in Respect of Each Matter or Issue:

The Board notes that an appendix to the complaint form contained several statements to why the subject property's assessment is incorrect. However the evidence submitted to the Board at the hearing was in regards to direct sales comparison approach.

# The assessed value is not reflective of the property's market value.

# Comparable property sales demonstrate lower market value is appropriate for the subject property or \$650,000/acre.

The Complainant presented three sales comparables in support of a lower assessment for the subject property (Exhibit C1 page 13). However the Board placed little weight on two of these sales comparables for the following reasons: the comparable located at 3675 63 Avenue NE is four times the size of the subject property and the comparable located at 142 41 Avenue NE is zoned RM4 (multi- family residential). The Board finds the comparable located at 11885 16 Street NE that sold for \$950,000/acre in February 2009 supports the assessment of the subject property.

#### Page 3 of 3

The Board finds the four sales comparables that the Respondent presented support the assessment of the subject property (Exhibit R2 page 19).

The Board finds that there was insufficient evidence presented by the Complainant to bring the assessment into question.

#### **Board's Decision:**

The decision of the Board is to confirm the assessment for the subject property of \$1,000,000 for the 2010 assessment year.

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 24 DAY OF AUGUST 2010.

Lana J. Wood Presiding Officer

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.